Vis-Trec: A System for the In-depth Analysis of trec_eval Results
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ABSTRACT

We introduce Vis-Trec\(^1\), an open-source cross-platform system, which provides the capability to perform in-depth analysis of the results obtained from trec-style evaluation campaigns. Vis-Trec allows researchers to dig deeper in their evaluations by providing various visualizations of the results based on performance percentiles, query difficulty, and comparative analysis of different methods using help-hurt diagrams at the query level. It also automatically organizes the obtained results in tabular LaTeX format that can be used for reporting evaluation findings. The added benefit for Vis-Trec is that it has been developed in Python and is extensible by other developers. The source code along with a functional version of the program are released to the public.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The systematic analysis of the performance of an Information Retrieval (IR) method relies not only on macro-level metrics, but also on granular analysis of the results at finer-grained levels such as separation of the performance of the method across a range of soft to hard queries or even analyzing the performance of each individual query [5, 10]. The IR community has already standardized the process of evaluating the performance of methods that relate to various forms of ad hoc retrieval through the trec_eval tool\(^2\). This tool computes a host of metrics at both collective and query levels, given (1) a list of queries, (2) their set of relevant documents, known as qrels, and (3) a run file representing the performance of the system under test. Researchers often employ the outcomes reported by trec_eval to perform additional more in-depth analysis of performance. While there exist many useful toolkits \([1, 3, 7–9]\), the process of performing the additional analysis is often either performed in-house code from the researchers’ labs or through a collection of scripts such as the minir-plots\(^3\) that have been shared with the community as a result of a personal project.

Based on our experience in running IR evaluations, we found that a streamlined software that would wrap around the trec_eval tool and automatically perform additional experiments would be immensely helpful as it will (1) save time by eliminating the need to run various evaluation scripts many times, (2) provide various forms of analysis on the results through a visual interface; hence, minimizing possible errors that might happen when running multiple scripts, and (3) generate uniform and easy to understand visualizations that can be seamlessly used when reporting.

The objective of this paper is to offer such a tool to the community, called Vis-Trec\(^4\), which contributes to streamlining the computation of three widely used analysis tasks in IR, namely (Task 1) IR evaluation metrics visualization enhancing the understanding of performance of a system at both individual query and collective levels and allowing for the visual comparison of performance of various baselines and the system under test; (Task 2) Query analysis, which analyzes which and to what extent various queries have been improved or declined compared to a chosen baseline; and (Task 3) Query difficulty analysis, which leads to distinguishing hard from soft queries, and providing insight into the performance of the system under different query difficulties. Task 3 cross-cuts the other two in the sense that by identifying hard queries, we can perform Tasks 1 and 2 on a range of hard and soft queries.

In the process of implementing Vis-Trec, we have focused on providing a unified visual software to afford the three mentioned tasks by dispensing two types of visual outputs including (1) images of plots relating to different tasks; and (2) LaTeX code for tables consisting of the retrieval results and their in-depth comparison. Vis-Trec wraps around the trec_eval tool and provides a GUI representation offering several benefits such as: (1) convenient and user-friendly environment for running experiments over many iterations; (2) reducing the chances of unintended errors that may arise when multiple scripts are used and run files are copied across different locations; (3) clear organization of experimental findings by logging the in-depth analyses with timestamps; and, (4) easily extensible with new functionality as it is return with a clear structure in Python and is released as open-source.

2 SYSTEM OVERVIEW

In this section, we briefly describe the functionality provided by Vis-Trec with regards to the three tasks introduced in the previous section.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

SIGIR ’20, July 25–30, 2020, Virtual Event, China
© 2020 Association for Computing Machinery.
ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-8016-4/20/07...
https://doi.org/10.1145/3397271.3401412

\(^1\)https://github.com/usnistgov/trec_eval
\(^2\)https://github.com/usnistgov/trec_eval
\(^3\)https://github.com/laura-diretta/minir-plots
\(^4\)Code available at https://github.com/mtamannaee/Vis-Trec
2.1 IR Evaluation Metrics Visualization

One of the most helpful ways of understanding the performance of a retrieval system is by visually representing its performance on various performance percentiles. Vis-Trec allows the user to easily define desirable percentiles and visualize a comparative analysis of the performance of one or more retrieval methods based on these percentiles. In Vis-Trec, researchers can conveniently evaluate their work across different percentiles by simply defining different percentile groups based on (1) a metric of interest and (2) a base retrieval method. Based on the defined percentiles, Vis-Trec will identify the queries for each percentile, matches the queries across different retrieval methods, and plots the performance of the various methods on a comparative basis. Figure 1 shows a plot generated by Vis-Trec where MAP has been chosen as the metric and four baselines are compared according to four user-defined percentiles.

2.2 Query Help-Hurt Analysis

In order to be able to understand where a retrieval method has been successful and where it has not, researchers need to understand retrieval performance at the query level. Vis-Trec provides the ability to visualize how a retrieval method performs compared to a selected baseline according to an evaluation metric of choice on a query by query basis. The user can select the retrieval method that is under test as well as a baseline method and also specify what metric would be used for comparing the two methods’ performance and on this basis, Vis-Trec would automatically plot a diagram showing how queries are helped or hurt in the retrieval method that is under test. Figure 2 depicts a sample Vis-Trec diagram comparing the performance of two retrieval methods based on MAP. It should be noted that Vis-Trec computes the delta of the performance of the two methods based on the selected metric and reports it on the y-axis.

2.3 Query Difficulty Analysis

When evaluating a retrieval method, researchers need to understand how the method performs across a range of query types. Most methods have a set of queries for which they cannot perform well, known as difficult or hard queries [2, 4]. When working on improving retrieval methods, researchers would need to understand how their work is impacting the hard queries of the system. Vis-Trec provides two methods for accessing the outcomes of the hard queries: (1) Images, which are saved on a directory of user’s choice with appropriate timestamps to avoid overwriting or losing previous runs. These images are saved in PNG format, e.g., diagrams in Figures 1 and 2 were generated this way, and (2) TEX code for reporting results in table format. Figure 3 shows TEX code that is automatically generated by Vis-Trec. Generating TEX code by Vis-Trec save researchers from the hassle of creating tables, transferring results into the appropriate format and decreases the chances of incorrectly reporting results.

2.4 Vis-Trec Artifacts

Vis-Trec provides two methods for accessing the outcomes of the above tasks: (1) Images, which are saved on a directory of user’s choice with appropriate timestamps to avoid overwriting or losing previous runs. These images are saved in PNG format, e.g., diagrams in Figures 1 and 2 were generated this way, and (2) TEX code for reporting results in table format. Figure 3 shows TEX code that is automatically generated by Vis-Trec. Generating TEX code by Vis-Trec save researchers from the hassle of creating tables, transferring results into the appropriate format and decreases the chances of incorrectly reporting results.

2.5 Graphical User Interface

Vis-Trec provides a graphical user interface for performing two steps: Step 1: Trec Evaluation, which runs trec_eval on the provided run files and collects the results, and Step 2: Analysis and Visualization, which allows the user to perform the tasks mentioned in the previous sections. Figure 4 provides a snapshot of Vis-Trec’s user interface. The user has the option of selecting a folder with various run files as well as identifying the appropriate relevance judgement file in the top box of the GUI. The user can subsequently run trec_eval directly from Vis-Trec. Once trec_eval results are obtained, the two tabs related to All Queries and Hard Queries will be activated where the user can perform various analysis tasks as explained earlier. Figure 4 shows the tasks that can be performed over all queries. In addition, when the Hard Queries tab is chosen, users have the option to define hard queries and then perform additional analysis on these selected queries.

3 CONCLUDING REMARKS

This demo paper introduces the Vis-Trec tool whose objective is to allow researchers to seamlessly analyze the outcomes of the trec_eval tool with the comfort of a graphical user interface with the option to easily define query percentiles and query difficulty ranges. Vis-Trec produces graphical plots of retrieval methods based on different metrics and query groups. It is also able to automatically generate TEX code that can be used for reporting purposes. The tool is released as open source and is developed in Python and hence can easily be extended with additional functionality.

Currently, we are extending our work in two complementary directions:

- We are working on a web-based system that would allow researchers to run their evaluation campaigns online and generate diagrams and prepare results. This would be convenient as it will allow for collaborative work on experiments and discussion of findings.
- As a part of the above, we are planning to create a public web-based platform to allow researchers to openly share their run files with the community. This will immensely help with reproducibility of results and comparison against baseline methods reported in the literature.
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Figure. 3 Two sample \LaTeX codes and corresponding tables generated by Vis-Trec.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All Queries (map)</th>
<th>Hard Queries (map)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>run-q1-TREC.txt &amp; 0.09975</td>
<td>run-q1.adhoc &amp; 0.0212061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>run-bm25-TREC.txt &amp; 0.101338</td>
<td>run-bm25.adhoc &amp; 0.0187636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>run-rm-TREC.txt &amp; 0.0975404</td>
<td>run-rm.adhoc &amp; 0.0206879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>run-sdm-TREC.txt &amp; 0.0973654</td>
<td>run-sdm.adhoc &amp; 0.0190364</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure. 4 Screenshot of the Vis-Trec Graphical User Interface for Working with All Available Queries.
